Case4:14-cr-00493-JST Document16 Filed01/23/15 Page1 of 6

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

Comments:

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY:	
Title 18 U.S.C., Section 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud and Mail Fraud; Title 18 U.S.C., Section 1623(a) – Perjury and Title 18 U.S.C., 1503 – Obstruction of Justice Misdemeano	Michael Q. Mai
PENALTY: Please see attachment.	14-0493 JST
	DEFENDANT
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) Federal Bureau of Investigation	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	3) 🔀 Is on Bail or Release from (show District) Northern District of California IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form MELINDA HAAG	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY Month/Day/Year
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Kyle Waldinger/Hallie Hoffma	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————	
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

United States v. Michael Q. Mai, CR 14-00493 JST

MAXIMUM PENALTIES (Each Count)

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Count One:

Wire Fraud

Imprisonment:

20 years

Fine:

\$250,000 (or twice the value of the property involved in the offense,

whichever is greater)

Supervised Release: 3 years

Special Assessment: \$100

Restitution:

To be determined by the Court

Count Two: Title 18 U.S.C., Section 1623(a) - Perjury

Imprisonment:

5 years

Fine:

\$250,000

Supervised Release: 3 years

Special Assessment: \$100

Restitution:

To be determined by the Court

Count Three: Title 18 U.S.C., 1503 – Obstruction of Justice

Imprisonment:

10 years

Fine:

\$250,000

Supervised Release:

3 years

Special Assessment: \$100

Restitution:

To be determined by the Court

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 14-0493 JST 11 VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Plaintiff. 12 Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1623(a) – Perjury; 18 U.S.C. § 1503 – Obstruction 13 v. of Justice MICHAEL Q. MAI, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 SUPERSEDING INFORMATION The United States Attorney charges: 18 19 INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS At all times relevant to this Superseding Information: 20 The defendant, Michael Q. MAI, was an individual who resided in Orange County in the 21 1. Central District of California. 22 Dearborne Circle, LLC ("Dearborne"), was owned and controlled at least in part by MAI, 2. 23 and MAI held himself out to be the President of Dearborne. Dearborne's principal place of business was 24 purported to be MAI's residence in Orange County. 25 BPMB, Inc. ("BPMB"), was owned and controlled at least in part by MAI. 3. 26 The co-conspirator C.K. was an individual who resided in the Northern District of 27 4. California. C.K. was held out to be the "contracts manager" for Dearborne. SUPERSEDING INFORMATION

CR 14-0493 JST

- 5. The co-conspirator Quin Rudin was an individual who resided in Southern California. Rudin held himself out as being associated with both Dearborne and Certus Solutions, Inc. ("Certus").
- 6. Oracle America, Inc. (formerly known as Oracle USA, Inc.) ("Oracle"), was headquartered in San Mateo County in the Northern District of California. Oracle was a subsidiary of Oracle Corporation, which was also headquartered in San Mateo County. Oracle was in the business of providing database and applications software to businesses and organizations, among other products.
- 7. The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") was an agency of the United States Government, with its principal offices located in Washington, D.C.

COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud)

- 8. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 7 are re-alleged and incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 9. Beginning no later than in or about August 2009, and continuing until on or about October 31, 2009, both dates being approximate and inclusive, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

MICHAEL Q. MAI,

did knowingly and willfully conspire and agree with Rudin and C.K. to devise, participate in, and execute a material scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of material false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by means of concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, did knowingly and intentionally cause certain matters and things to be delivered by the United States Postal Service and by private and commercial interstate carrier according to the direction thereon, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and did knowingly and intentionally cause to be transmitted, in interstate commerce, by means of a wire communication, certain writings, signs, and signals, in violation of Title 18, United Sates Code, Section 1343. In sum and substance, MAI, C.K., and Rudin agreed that they would fraudulently induce Oracle to permit Dearborne/Certus to re-sell Oracle software, licenses, and technical support services to the PBGC, including through e-mail correspondence sent in interstate commerce, and that they then would fail, decline, and refuse to pay Oracle for such software, licenses, and technical support services, even after Dearborne/Certus had received payment from the

PBGC by check through the United States mail.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

<u>COUNT TWO</u>: (18 U.S.C. § 1623 – Perjury)

- 10. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 7 are re-alleged and incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
 - 11. On or about July 22, 2013, in the Central District of California, the defendant, MICHAEL MAI,

having taken an oath before a competent person that he would testify truthfully at an oral proceeding pertaining to the lawsuit captioned *Oracle America*, *Inc. v. Mai*, CV 10-01853, did knowingly and contrary to his oath testify and declare falsely as to material matters, in that he was asked about a withdrawal of \$285,000 from Dearborne's bank account of funds obtained from the PBGC as payment for Oracle software and the defendant gave the following testimony (the underlined portion of which was knowingly false):

- Q. And then in on three days later, on October 26th, there was a withdrawal of \$285,000 even; is that right?
- A. Yes. It was for a cashier check to Certus.

In truth, as the defendant well knew, the \$285,000 withdrawal that was the subject of the question was comprised of (a) a \$100,000 cashier's check made payable to the defendant, which the defendant deposited into his Bank of America account numbered ending -0044; (b) an \$80,000 cashier's check made payable to BPMP Inc. [sic], which the defendant deposited into BPMB's Bank of America account numbered ending -8265; and (c) a \$105,000 cashier's check made payable to a company located in the Northern District of California identified here by its initials, "N.E. Company."

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623(a).

COUNT THREE: (18 U.S.C. § 1503 – Obstruction of Justice)

12. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 7 are re-alleged and incorporated herein as if set forth in full.

SUPERSEDING INFORMATION CR 14-0493 JST

Case4:14-cr-00493-JST Document16 Filed01/23/15 Page6 of 6

Beginning on or about September 26, 2011, and continuing through on or about June 22, 13. 1 2013, in the Central District of California, the defendant, 2 MICHAEL MAI, 3 did corruptly endeavor to influence, obstruct, and impede the due administration of justice by creating 4 two e-mails, which purported to have been sent from Rudin to the defendant on September 22, 2009, and 5 November 3, 2009, concerning payments from the defendant to Rudin/Certus related to the PBGC 6 transaction, and thereafter falsely testifying under oath in a deposition and at trial that the e-mails were 7 created on their purported dates, when, in truth, the defendant created the e-mails on or about September 8 26, 2011, all in an effort to influence, obstruct, and impede the United States District Court in the 9 lawsuit captioned Oracle America, Inc. v. Mai, CV 10-01853, pending in the Central District of 10 11 California. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1503. 12 13 1/23/2015 **MELINDA HAAG** DATED: 14 United States Attorne 15 16 17 Chief, Criminal Division 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

SUPERSEDING INFORMATION CR 14-0493 JST